

CLAIMS ALLOWED BY THE AUDITOR FOR THE INTERIOR DEPARTMENT.

Claims allowed by
Auditor for Interior
Department.

For law library, Patent Office, nineteen hundred and twelve, \$3.75.
For education of natives of Alaska, \$10.
For contingent expenses, office of surveyor general of Idaho, nineteen hundred and fourteen, \$1.24.
For contingent expenses, office of surveyor general of Utah, nineteen hundred and fourteen, \$9.10.
For expenses of hearings in land entries, nineteen hundred and thirteen, \$2.22.
For surveying the public lands in Utah, \$530.88.
For Geological Survey, \$32.23.
For purchase and transportation of Indian supplies, nineteen hundred and thirteen, \$42.16.
For telegraphing and telephoning, Indian Service, nineteen hundred and thirteen, \$7.82.
For support of Coeur d'Alenes, Idaho, nineteen hundred and thirteen, \$3.08.
For indemnity to certain Chickasaw Indians for losses, treaty June twenty-second, eighteen hundred and fifty-five, \$5,743.

Vol. II, p. 611.

CLAIMS ALLOWED BY THE AUDITOR FOR THE STATE AND OTHER DEPARTMENTS.

Claims allowed by
Auditor for State, etc.,
Departments.

For transportation of diplomatic and consular officers, \$291.40.
For preservation of collections, National Museum, \$47.86.
For general expenses, Bureau of Animal Industry, \$8.87.
For general expenses, Bureau of Plant Industry, \$2.51.
For general expenses, Forest Service, \$2.43.
For improvement of the national forests, \$6.92.
For general expenses, Bureau of Soils, \$2.67.
For general expenses, Weather Bureau, \$8.36.
For contingent expenses, Department of Commerce and Labor, \$5.42.
For supplies of lighthouses, 92 cents.
For expenses of light vessels, \$126.54.
For expenses of buoyage, \$13.40.
For miscellaneous expenses, Bureau of Fisheries, 80 cents.
For expenses of regulating immigration, \$119.25.
For salaries, fees, and expenses of marshals, United States courts, \$4.80.
For fees of commissioners, United States courts, nineteen hundred and thirteen, \$82.80.
For fees of commissioners, United States courts, \$199.60.
Approved, July 29, 1914.

July 30, 1914.
[S. 485.]

[Public, No. 156.]

CHAP. 216.—An Act To amend section one of an Act entitled "An Act to codify, revise, and amend the laws relating to the judiciary," approved March third, nineteen hundred and eleven.

Judicial Code.
Vol. 36, p. 1087,
amended.

District courts.
Judge for each dis-
trict.

Additional for desig-
nated States.
Post, p. 599.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section one of the Act entitled "An Act to codify, revise, and amend the laws relating to the judiciary," approved March third, nineteen hundred and eleven, be, and is hereby, amended to read as follows:

"SECTION 1. In each of the districts described in chapter five there shall be a court called a district court, for which there shall be appointed one judge, to be called a district judge, except that in the northern district of California, the southern district of California, the

northern district of Illinois, the district of Minnesota, the district of Nebraska, the district of New Jersey, the eastern district of New York, the northern and southern districts of Ohio, the district of Oregon, the eastern and western districts of Pennsylvania, and the western district of Washington, there shall be an additional district judge in each, and in the southern district of New York three additional district judges: *Provided*, That there shall be one judge for the eastern and western districts of South Carolina, one judge for the eastern and middle districts of Tennessee, and one judge for the northern and southern districts of Mississippi: *Provided further*, That the district judge for the middle district of Alabama shall continue as heretofore to be a district judge for the northern district thereof. Every district judge shall reside in the district or one of the districts for which he is appointed, and for offending against this provision shall be deemed guilty of a high misdemeanor.³

Approved, July 30, 1914.

Ante, p. 283.

Provisos.
Service in two districts.
Post, p. 961.

Alabama.

Residence required.

CHAP. 217.—An Act To authorize the construction of a bridge across Saint John River at Fort Kent, Maine.

July 30, 1914.
[H. R. 16579.]

[Public, No. 157.]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the consent of Congress is hereby given to the construction, maintenance, and operation by the State of Maine and the Dominion of Canada jointly of a bridge across the Saint John River, at a point suitable to the interests of navigation, between Fort Kent village, corporation in Fort Kent, Maine, in the county of Aroostook and State of Maine, and the parish of Clair, also commonly known as the parish of Saint Francis, in the county of Madawaska and Province of New Brunswick. Said bridge shall be constructed in accordance with the provisions of an Act entitled "An Act to regulate the construction of bridges over navigable waters," approved March twenty-third, nineteen hundred and six: *Provided*, That the construction of said bridge shall not be commenced until the consent of the proper authorities of the Dominion of Canada for the erection and construction of the structure shall have been obtained.

Saint John River.
Maine and Canada
may bridge, between
Fort Kent and Clair.

Construction.
Vol. 34, p. 84.

Proviso.
Consent of Canada
required.

SEC. 2. That the right to alter, amend, or repeal this Act is hereby expressly reserved.

Amendment.

Approved, July 30, 1914.

CHAP. 218.—An Act Authorizing the fiscal court of Pike County, Kentucky, to construct a bridge across Tug Fork of the Big Sandy River, at or near Williamson, West Virginia.

July 30, 1914.
[H. R. 17005.]

[Public, No. 158.]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the consent of Congress is hereby granted for the fiscal court of Pike County, Kentucky, to construct, maintain, and operate a bridge across the Tug Fork of the Big Sandy River, at a point suitable to the interests of navigation, at or near the town of Williamson, in the county of Mingo, in the State of West Virginia, in accordance with the provisions of an Act entitled "An Act to regulate the construction of bridges over navigable waters," approved March twenty-third, nineteen hundred and six.

Tug Fork of Big
Sandy River.
Pike County, Ky.,
may bridge, at Wil-
liamson, W. Va.

Construction.
Vol. 34, p. 84.

SEC. 2. That the right to alter, amend, or repeal this Act is hereby expressly reserved.

Amendment.

Approved, July 30, 1914.